## Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
PAGE 01 STATE 036434

61

**ORIGIN EB-07** 

INFO OCT-01 ARA-06 ISO-00 IO-10 AGR-05 CIAE-00 COME-00

INR-07 LAB-04 NSAE-00 SP-02 STR-01 TRSE-00 CIEP-01

FRB-03 OMB-01 L-02 SIL-01 /051 R

66611

DRAFTED BY: EB/FTD:MBSMITH:HM APPROVED BY: EB/FTD:MBSMITH CIEP-AJURICH

COMMERCE-APOLANSKY LABOR-IKRAMER

ARA/BR-JSLATTERY

DIST: CIEP, COMMERCE, LABOR, ARA/BR
------105239

P 182219Z FEB 75

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO AMEMBASSY BRASILIA PRIORITY INFO USMISSION GENEVA PRIORITY

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE STATE 036434

C O R R E C T E D C O P Y (PARA 5 LINE 1 OMITTED)

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: ETRD, BR

SUBJECT:USG/GOB BILATERAL TEXTILE CONSULTATIONS

REF: BRASILIA 1356

GENEVA FOR PHELAN

1. AS A MATTER OF PRINCIPLE, DEPARTMENT CANNOT ACCEPT GOB'S REASONS FOR DEFERING TEXTILE NEGOTIATIONS AT THIS TIME. LIKEWISE, WE CANNOT ACCEPT GOB'S WILLINGNESS TO LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 STATE 036434

CONSULT ONLY QUOTE WITHIN GATT FRAMEWORK UNQUOTE, BUT THE

NEGOTIATION OF RESTRAINT AGREEMENTS IS NOT A FUNCTION OF AN UNSETTLED MARKET BUT A FUNCTION OF THE IMPACT OF IMPORTS ON THE DOMESTIC MARKET OF THE IMPORTINR COUNTRY UNDER ANS MARKET CONDITIONS. THE MFA MAKES IT CLEAR IN ARTICLE 4 AND ELSEWHERE THAT BILATERAL AGREEMENTS, FOR EXAMPLE, ARE NEGOTIATED TO ELIMINATE MARKET DISRUPTION (OR THE THREAT THEREOF) AND TO PROVIDE FOR THE ORDERLY EXPANSION OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE IN TEXTILES. THERE IS NO REFERENCE TO OR IMPLICATION THAT SUCH NEGOTIATIONS ARE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WHEN THE MARKET IS SETTLED. INDEED, A VERY CONVINCING CASE CAN BE MADE THAT THE UNCERTAINTY OF THE

## MARKET MAKES NEGOTIATIONS ALL THE MORE ESSENTIAL.

- 2. ALL TEXTILE TRADING NATIONS KNOW THAT THE NATURE OF SUCH TRADE HAS BEEN VOLATILE. THE PURPOSE OF THE MFA WAS TO REMOVE SOME OF THIS VOLATILITY AND THE USG IN ITS BILATERAL FRAMEWORK STRIVES TO DO THIS ALSO. HENCE, WE STRONGLY BELIEVE THAT A YEAR'S DELAY IN NEGOTIATING A MAN-MADE FIBER BILATERAL WITH GOB COULD ONLY HURT BRAZILIAN INTERESTS BECAUSE, AS THE GOB KNOWS, HISTORICAL TRADE PERFORMANCE IS AN IMPORTANT FACTOR IN ESTABLISHING RESTRAINT LEVELS AND WITH THE CURRENT MALAISE IN WORLD TEXTILE TRADE (SPECIFICALLY WITH REGARD TO BRAZIL'S TRADE WITH US), THE LIMITS THE GOB WOULD OBTAIN FROM US IN A BILATERAL AGREEMENT A YEAR FROM NOW COULD WELL BE SIGNIFICANTLY LOWER THAN AT PRESENT.
- 3. ALSO, THERE ARISES THE QUESTION OF INTERNATIONAL EQUITY. SINCE THE MFA WAS CONCLUDED IN DECEMBER 1973, THE UNITED STATES HAS NEGOTIATED OR RENEGOTIATED A NUMBER OF BILATERAL RESTRAINT AGREEMENTS WITH PARTICIPANTS TO THE MFA. THE MFA CLEARLY REQUIRES ANY RESTRAINING COUNTRY TO TREAT ALL MFA PARTICIPATING EXPORTING COUNTRIES EQUITABLY. NOT TO BRING BRAZIL UNDER A MULTIFIBER BILATERAL WOULD BE, PER FORCE, TO TREAT INEQUITABLY OUR OTHER MFA TRADING PARTNERS WHO HAVE ALREADY AGREED TO BILATERAL RESTRAINTS.
- 4. FINALLY, WE CANNOT ACCEPT THE GOB NOTION OF A GATT LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 STATE 036434

(AS SEPARATE FROM MFA) UMBRELLA. WE CONSIDER, AS WE BELIEVE OTHER MFA MEMBERS DO, THAT THE MFA IS THE UMBRELLA WITHIN GATT FRAMEWORK AS FAR AS TEXTILES ARE CONCERNED, AND THE MFA EXPRESSEDLY SANCTIONS AND ENCOURAGES BILATERAL SOLUTIONS TO TEXTILE PROBLEMS. TO HOLD ANY OTHER POSITION WOULD BE TO VITIATE THE ENTIRE CONCEPT OF THE MFA AND THUS WORK TO THE DECIDED DISADVANTAGE OF DEVELOPING AND TEXTILE EXPORTING COUNTRIES.

LIKEWISE, WE HAVE NO DESIRE OF SETTING A DUBIOUS PRECEDENT OF GOING ALONG WITH THE GOB PROPOSAL THAT THE BILATERAL COTTON AGREEMENT SHOULD SIMPLY BE EXTENDED FOR ANOTHER YEAR DUE TO THE UNSETTLED MARKET CONDITIONS OR EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES, INASMUCH AS THE GOB ARGUMENTS ARE WITHOUT SUBSTANCE.

5. HENCE, THE EMBASSY SHOULD INFORM THE GOB THAT THE USG DOES NOT ACCEPT THE GOB POSITION ON THE PROPOSED TEXTILE CONSULTATIONS. YOU SHOULD SPECIFICALLY REJECT BOTH THE QUOTE UNSETTLED MARKET CONDITIONS QUOTE ARGUMENT AND THE QUOTE EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES QUOTE ARGUMENT. HOWEVER, AS A PRELIMINARY STEP TOWARDS RECONCILLING OUR

DIFFERENCES, YOU SHOULD ADVISE THE GOB WE WOULD BE WILLING TO MEET ON A PRELIMINARY BASIS TO ESTABLISH A NEGOTIATING SENARIO. SUCH A STEP MUST BE TAKEN QUICKLY TO AVOID POTENTIAL ECONOMIC AND POLITICAL DIFFICULTIES BOTH FROM A US DOMESTIC VIEWPOINT AND IN LIGHT OF OUR INTERNATIONAL OBLIGATIONS UNDER THE MFA. FYI EMBASSY'S

ATTENTION IS DRAWN TO STATE 030843. END FYI.

6. WOULD APPRECIATE REPORT ON GOB REACTION SOONEST. MAW

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: TEXTILE INDUSTRY, MEETING AGENDA, NEGOTIATIONS, FOREIGN POLICY POSITION

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 18 FEB 1975 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: SmithRJ
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975STATE036434

Document Number: 1975STATE036434 Document Source: CORE Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: EB/FTD:MBSMITH:HM

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: N/A

Film Number: D750060-0100

From: STATE

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750234/aaaabepe.tel Line Count: 139 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Office: ORIGIN EB

Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Previous Classification: LiMited Official Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: 75 BRASILIA 1356
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: SmithRJ

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 25 JUN 2003

**Review Event:** 

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <25 JUN 2003 by KelleyW0>; APPROVED <20 NOV 2003 by SmithRJ>

**Review Markings:** 

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JÚL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:** Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: SG/GOB BILATERAL TEXTILE CONSULTATIONS TAGS: ETRD, BR, US To: BRASILIA

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006